

Minutes of the Regular meeting of the Syracuse City Council held on June 11, 2019 at 6:00 p.m., in the Council Chambers, 1979 West 1900 South, Syracuse City, Davis County, Utah.

Present: Councilmembers: Lisa W. Bingham
Corinne N. Bolduc
Dave Maughan
Doug Peterson
Jordan Savage

Mayor Mike Gailey
City Manager Brody Bovero
City Recorder Cassie Z. Brown

City Employees Present:

City Attorney Paul Roberts
Public Works Director Robert Whiteley
Police Chief Garret Atkin
Fire Chief Aaron Byington
Community and Economic Development Director Noah Steele

1. Meeting Called to Order/Adopt Agenda

Mayor Gailey called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m. as a regularly scheduled meeting, with notice of time, place, and agenda provided 24 hours in advance to the newspaper and each Councilmember Bingham provided an invocation and Councilmember Bolduc led the audience in the Pledge of Allegiance.

COUNCILMEMBER MAUGHAN MOVED TO ADOPT THE AGENDA. COUNCILMEMBER BOLDUC SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED IN FAVOR.

2. Public comment.

There were no public comments.

3. Approval of minutes.

The following minutes were reviewed by the City Council: Work Session and Special Meeting of April 23, 2019 and Business Meeting of May 14, 2019.

Prior to the meeting, Councilmember Maughan submitted suggested corrections to the minutes of the May 14 business meeting; he cited the misspelling of his name as well as an incorrect dollar amount associated with the penalty for violation of the Athletic Field Use Policy. Both errors were corrected by the City Recorder.

COUNCILMEMBER PETERSON MADE A MOTION TO APPROVE THE MINUTES LISTED ON THE AGENDA AS AMENDED. COUNCILMEMBER BINGHAM SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED AYE.

4a. Common consent: Proposed Resolution R19-16 appointing Alan Gallegos, Keith Kennington, and Gretchen Ivy Ruhlen to the Syracuse City Planning Commission.

An administrative staff memo explained there are currently vacant positions on the City's Planning Commission; the City has advertised the vacancies and solicited letters of interest from residents who meet the requirements of the positions. Mayor Gailey has vetted and interviewed the applicants and presented his recommended appointees to the City Council during their May 28 work session meeting. The Council consented to proceeding with appointment of the three individuals and Administration has prepared a proposed resolution to formalize the appointments as follows:

- Alan Gallegos, Planning Commission – four-year term.
- Keith Kennington, Planning Commission – four-year term
- Gretchen Ivy Ruhlen, Planning Commission Alternate – four-year term

COUNCILMEMBER BINGHAM MOVED TO ADOPT RESOLUTION R19-16 APPOINTING ALAN GALLEGOS, KEITH KENNINGTON, AND GRETCHEN IVY RUHLEN TO THE SYRACUSE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION. COUNCILMEMBER PETERSON SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED IN FAVOR.

4b. Common consent: Proposed Resolution R19-17 appointing Keith Restroff to

the Syracuse City Board of Adjustment.

An administrative staff memo explained there is currently a vacant position on the City’s Board of Adjustment; the City has advertised the vacancy and solicited letters of interest from residents who meet the requirements of the position. Mayor Gailey has vetted and interviewed the applicants and presented his recommended appointee to the City Council during their May 28 work session meeting. The Council consented to proceeding with appointment of the Keith Restroff to a five-year term. A proposed resolution to formalize the appointment has been provided for Council consideration.

COUNCILMEMBER BINGHAM MOVED TO ADOPT RESOLUTION R19-17 APPOINTING KEITH RESTROFF TO THE SYRACUSE CITY BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT. COUNCILMEMBER PETERSON SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED IN FAVOR.

4c. Common consent: Proposed Resolution R19-18 authorizing the Mayor to execute a lease renewal agreement with Accucolor for office space inside City Hall.

An administrative staff memo explained the Accucolor lease expires on June 30, 2019; this was a seven-year lease that originated in July 2012. City Administration compared market rates for lease space in and around Syracuse and determined that lease space like this is running between \$15-\$18 per square foot a year. Accucolor is currently paying \$16.07 per square foot a year based upon lease payments and property taxes paid. The lease terms in the contract are set for the next five years as follows. This includes a three-percent escalator each year for years two and three.

- o Year 1: \$36,167 or \$3,013.92 per month
- o Year 2: \$37,252 or \$3,104.33 per month
- o Year 3: \$38,370 or \$3,197.50 per month
- o Year 4: Option to renew at market rate
- o Year 5: Option to renew at market rate

COUNCILMEMBER BINGHAM MOVED TO ADOPT RESOLUTION R19-18 AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE A LEASE RENEWAL AGREEMENT WITH ACCUCOLOR FOR THE OFFICE SPACE INSIDE CITY HALL. COUNCILMEMBER PETERSON SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED IN FAVOR.

4d. Common consent: Preliminary Plat approval, The Fields Subdivision, located at approximately 2465 W. Gentile (3700 S.).

A staff memo from the Community and Economic Development (CED) Department provided the following information regarding the application:

Location:	2465 West Gentile (3700 South)
Current Zoning:	R-1 Cluster
General Plan:	R-1
Total Subdivision Area:	54.59 Acres
Area/Number of Lots:	115
Applicant Name:	CW Land

The applicant is requesting preliminary plat approval of a 115-lot residential subdivision called The Fields. This is a cluster subdivision proposed in 3 phases. Cluster subdivisions are allowed only by conditional use in the R-1 zone. The land use authority for major CUPs is the Planning Commission, which who, approved the CUP. The Council recently amended the Cluster ordinance to no longer be a CUP, but a stand along zone. The cluster ordinance requires 50 percent open space and 10 percent common space. The developer will be dedicating 24.73 acres of land plus secondary water rights to the city, which is deemed to satisfactorily meet the zone's open and common space requirements according to the annexation agreement for the property. Minimum lot size in the cluster is 7,000 square feet and maximum density is 2.3 units per acre. Staff has completed a detailed review of the project for conformance to the ordinance. Staff has found that all roads, lot sizes, open space, and densities are in compliance. The Planning Commission voted on May 21, 2019 to approve the conditional use permit for the cluster subdivision and forwarded a recommendation for approval of the preliminary plat and the accompanying development

agreement. The vote was six affirmative and one abstention; one Commission was absent as they recused themselves from participating and voting on the item.

COUNCILMEMBER BINGHAM MOVED TO GRANT PRELIMINARY PLAT APPROVAL FOR THE FIELDS SUBDIVISION, LOCATED AT 2465 W. GENTILE (3700 S.). COUNCILMEMBER PETERSON SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED IN FAVOR.

4e. Common consent: Proposed Resolution R19-19 authorizing and directing the participation rates of Syracuse City in the public employee’s retirement system and the public safety retirement system for Fiscal Year 2019-2020.

An administrative staff memo explained the City is required by Utah Code Title 49, Chapters 11-15 to pay retirement on our full-time employees. Each year, the City is required to certify the contribution rates that will be paid for retirement to Utah Retirement Systems (URS) for our full-time employees. These rates vary depending on which system the employees are in and when they were hired. We currently participate in 9 different retirement programs offered by URS. This includes our police, fire, and administrative staff as well as tier I and tier II employees. They are outlined below and in the URS rates table below:

Local Government Employee	Tier I – DB	18.47%
Local Government Employee	Tier II – DB Hybrid	16.69%
Local Government Employee	Tier II – DC	16.69%
Public Safety – Police	Tier I – DB	34.04%
Public Safety – Police	Tier II – DB Hybrid	23.83%
Public Safety – Police	Tier II – DC	23.83%
Public Safety – Fire	Tier I – DB	19.66%
Public Safety – Fire	Tier II – DB Hybrid	12.08%
Public Safety – Fire	Tier II – DC	12.08%

COUNCILMEMBER BINGHAM MOVED TO ADOPT RESOLUTION R19-19 AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE PARTICIPATION RATES OF SYRACUSE CITY IN THE PUBLIC EMPLOYEE’S RETIREMENT SYSTEM AND THE PUBLIC SAFETY RETIREMENT SYSTEM FOR FISCAL YEAR 2019-2020. COUNCILMEMBER PETERSON SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED IN FAVOR.

5. Proposed Resolution R19-20 authorizing the Mayor to execute a renewed Interlocal Agreement with Clinton City for Victims Advocate Services.

A staff memo from the City Attorney explained the City’s two-year agreement with Clinton is coming to an expiration. The Council indicated that it would support a renewal of the victim advocate grant with the federal government (which covers 80 percent of the program costs). The City has submitted its renewal to the Utah Office for Victims of Crime, and staff expects to receive approval in the next week or two. Clinton’s leaders have indicated that they are satisfied with the program and the services that it renders for their city, and their Council is considering approving the renewal, as well. Approving this renewal will authorize the cost-sharing of the 20 percent match between Syracuse and Clinton on a 53-47 basis. This number was determined by the number of actual services rendered by the Advocate in each City for the period of the first contract. The memo concluded staff requests the Council take action to approve the attached Resolution authorizing the Mayor to execute the Renewal of the Interlocal Agreement.

Mr. Roberts reviewed his staff memo.

Councilmember Maughan asked if it is correct that the Victims Advocate position was recently open, to which Mr. Roberts answered yes; the former employee is pursuing a degree in education and she needed to seek part-time employment elsewhere. The position has been filled by a new individual. Councilmember Maughan stated he would like to meet her. Mr. Roberts stated she will visit with the Council in the future to provide Victim Services reports.

COUNCILMEMBER MAUGHAN MOVED TO ADOPT RESOLUTION R19-20 AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE A RENEWED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT WITH CLINTON CITY FOR VICTIM ADVOCATE SERVICES. COUNCILMEMBER BOLDUC SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED IN FAVOR.

6. Consideration of petition to open General Plan Map for property located at 409 S. 3000 W.

A staff memo from the Community and Economic Development (CED) Department provided the following information regarding the application:

Location:	409 South 3000 West
Current Zoning:	R-1
General Plan:	R-1
Proposed General Plan:	R-3
Acres:	.5 Acres
Applicant Name:	Hassan Namazi
Parcel ID:	12-034-000

The applicant is petitioning the Council to open the General Plan Map outside the open amendment period. Open amendment periods are during January on odd years. To open the general plan map outside of the amendment period, the council must find, with a super majority (4 votes) that it meets one the following:

- a. Significant changes to arterials or infrastructure by agencies other than the City, and which were contrary to the assumptions in the current general plan;
- b. Catastrophic events, such as natural disasters or conflagrations;
- c. The Council finds that the proposed development has the potential to confer a substantial benefit on the City;

The interpretation of what 'substantial benefit' to the city means is somewhat subjective. What this change will do, is replace a fallow lot that has been a code enforcement problem with a new home. This new home will be on a 10,890 square foot lot which is larger than the adjacent lot to the east that is 10,454 square feet. The other lots to the east are also of similar size. Deciding whether if adding a new home into an older neighborhood is a substantial benefit to the city, is the question at hand. The lot is a half-acre (21,780 square feet) corner lot and the applicant desires to split the parcel, creating one new building lot, 2 total lots. Maximum allowed units for a project is derived by multiplying the total acreage (.5 acres) by the maximum units per acre allowed in the zone, which R-1 is 2.3. That math equals 1.15 maximum units in the R-1 zone, obviously 2 is more than 1.15. R-2 zoning has a maximum of 3 units per acre which would still only yield 1.5 lots. R-3 allows 4 lots maximum per acre which would yield 2 lots. This is why the proposal is to amend to R-3. If the Council voted to open the general plan map, then the application would resume its normal course to Planning Commission and back to council for a vote. It is proposed that both general plan and zoning map be run concurrently due to the simplicity of the application. If general plan and zoning is amended, then applicant would be required to submit an application for a minor subdivision which would again go through Planning Commission and City Council.

Mr. Steele reviewed the staff memo.

Councilmember Peterson referenced the parameters listed in the staff report and stated he does not feel that the petition meets any of those parameters; he does not believe the City can vote to approve the opening of the General Plan Map outside the open amendment period for that reason. All Councilmembers agreed and encouraged the applicant to resubmit his petition during the open amendment period.

COUNCILMEMBER PETERSON MOVED TO DENY PETITION TO OPEN GENERAL PLAN MAP FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT 409 S. 3000 W. COUNCILMEMBER MAUGHAN SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED IN FAVOR.

7. Proposed Resolution R19-21 authorizing the issuance and sale of Water Revenue Bonds not to exceed \$6,200,000.

A staff memo from the Administrative Services Director referred to the long title of the parameters resolution, which reads as follows: “A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF SYRACUSE CITY, UTAH (THE “ISSUER”), AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE AND SALE OF NOT MORE THAN \$6,200,000 AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF WATER REVENUE BONDS; FIXING THE MAXIMUM AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF THE BONDS, THE MAXIMUM NUMBER OF YEARS OVER WHICH THE BONDS MAY MATURE, THE MAXIMUM INTEREST RATE WHICH THE BONDS MAY BEAR, AND THE MAXIMUM DISCOUNT FROM PAR AT WHICH THE BONDS MAY BE SOLD; PROVIDING FOR THE PUBLICATION OF A NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING AND BONDS TO BE ISSUED; PROVIDING FOR THE RUNNING OF A CONTEST PERIOD AND SETTING A PUBLIC HEARING DATE; AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION BY THE ISSUER OF A GENERAL INDENTURE AND SUPPLEMENTAL INDENTURE, BOND PURCHASE AGREEMENT, AND OTHER DOCUMENTS REQUIRED IN CONNECTION THEREWITH; AUTHORIZING THE TAKING OF ALL OTHER ACTIONS NECESSARY TO THE CONSUMMATION OF THE TRANSACTIONS CONTEMPLATED BY THIS RESOLUTION; AND RELATED MATTERS.” The memo then noted that items discussed at the Council’s May 28 work session meeting included interest rates, terms of bond, and type of bond; how much the City should borrow; direct placement bonds versus open market bonds; noticing of a public hearing and protest period; bidding; and the terms of the parameters resolution. The estimated closing date for the bond would be around the 7th of August 2019. This is subject to change depending on the calendar of events listed. Tonight, the Council should consider adopting proposed resolution authorizing the issuance of water revenue bonds to construct a three-million-gallon water tank; this action will be followed by the publication of two public notices of bonds to be issued. A public hearing can be held July 9, 2019, after which the request for bids on the bonds will be published July 10, 2019 for a two-week period.

City Manager Bovero reviewed Mr. Marshall’s staff memo.

Councilmember Savage stated there are very few things that he would consider issuing bonds for, but the provision of water is an essential function of the City and he feels it is appropriate to proceed with this action. Councilmember Peterson agreed and stated he is comfortable with the City’s plan to repay the debt. Councilmembers Bingham, Bolduc, and Maughan agreed as well.

COUNCILMEMBER BOLDUC MOVED TO ADOPT RESOLUTION R19-20 AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE AND SALE OF WATER REVENUE BONDS NOT TO EXCEED \$6,200,000. COUNCILMEMBER BINGHAM SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED IN FAVOR.

8. Proposed Ordinance 2019-10 amending an Impact Fee Facilities Plan and an Impact Fee Analysis for culinary water; providing for the calculation and collection of such fees; providing for appeal, accounting, and severability of the same; and other related matters

A staff memo from the Administrative Services Director explained during the most recent City Council work session meeting, the Council and Administration discussed the possibility of amending the City’s Culinary Impact Fee Analysis (IFA) plan to include actual costs of the City’s culinary water tank project. Administration has updated the IFA to include modified costs for this current tank and for future water tank storage as well as the transmission line and the land purchased to build the water tank. These changes in estimates affect the rates as follows:

Water Meter Size	Proposed Amended Fee	Current Fee
3/4"	\$1,204.92	\$805.29
1"	\$2,008.20	\$1,342.15
1 1/2"	\$4,016.39	\$2,684.30
2"	\$6,426.23	\$4,294.88
3"	\$12,852.46	\$8,589.77
4"	\$20,081.96	\$13,421.51
6"	\$40,163.92	\$26,843.02

8” \$64,262.28 \$42,948.83

The memo also included a graph comparing the City’s Culinary Water Improve Fees with those charged in other jurisdictions; the note was made that some of the entities have water supply calculated into their total, which is not comparative with Syracuse City’s practice. Syracuse City has one of the lowest culinary impact fees on this chart.

Water Impact Fees:	Single Family Dwelling
St. George With Water Supply Fee**	\$9,628.00
Saratoga Springs With Water Supply Fee	\$6,428.00
Orem Southwest SA With Water Supply Fee	\$5,808.07
Orem City SA With Water Supply Fee	\$4,407.01
Provo (Proposed)	\$4,042.80
South Jordan	\$3,194.00
AVERAGE OF OTHER COMMUNITIES	\$3,055.66
West Valley	\$2,806.00
American Fork*	\$2,502.45
Salt Lake City	\$2,473.00
Taylorsville	\$2,357.00
Sandy	\$2,265.00
West Jordan	\$2,245.00
Pleasant Grove*	\$1,931.70
Lindon*	\$1,557.00
Springville*	\$1,301.00
Lehi*	\$1,200.00
Layton*	\$946.00
Spanish Fork*	\$897.00
Provo (Current)	\$760.00

The memo concluded the action before the Council tonight is consideration of adopting the proposed resolution amending the culinary impact fee analysis and the culinary impact fee facilities plan. If the council approves this resolution, there would be a 90-day waiting period before the rate would become effective. This would make it effective on September 10, 2019.

Mr. Bovero reviewed Mr. Marshall’s staff memo.

Mayor Gailey opened the public hearing at 6:17 p.m. There were no persons appearing to be heard and the public hearing was closed.

Councilmember Bingham stated that no member of the City Council desires to increase any fee charged to residents; however, this action is necessary in order to cover the costs associated with the impact of new development. She emphasized this is not a utility fee increase that will impact current residents of the City.

Councilmember Bolduc stated that it is also important to note that a portion of the cost of the City’s Culinary Water Tank project will be covered using impact fee revenue as that project is related to growth in the City.

COUNCILMEMBER MAUGHAN MOVED TO ADOPT ORDINANCE 2019-10 AMENDING AN IMPACT FEE FACILITIES PLAN AND AN IMPACT FEE ANALYSIS FOR CULINARY WATER; PROVIDING FOR THE CALCULATION AND COLLECTION OF SUCH FEES; PROVIDING FOR APPEAL, ACCOUNTING, AND SEVERABILITY OF THE SAME; AND OTHER RELATED MATTERS. COUNCILMEMBER PETERSON SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED IN FAVOR.

9. Public hearing – Proposed Resolution R19-22 adjusting the Syracuse City Budget for the Fiscal Year ending June 30, 2019.

A staff memo from the Administrative Services Director explained highlighted the proposed budget amendments for the Fiscal Year budget ending June 30, 2018.

- Changes to **General Fund budget:**
 - The City will have approximately \$400,000 in budget savings across all general fund department expenses. This is primarily due to salary and benefit savings.
 - Add a transfer of \$628,000 to the capital projects fund (\$400,000 in savings above plus \$228,000 in budget surplus from previous budget openings).
- Changes to **Storm Water budget:**
 - Add \$202,000 storm water project for a storm drain in bluff road. This would be split equally between storm water operating and storm water impact funds.
- Changes to **Capital Project budget:**
 - Add transfer into fund for \$628,000.
 - Insurance proceeds for lawn mower of \$39,075.
 - Purchase of new mower ~ \$86,000.
 - Reduction of cemetery parking lot maintenance and savings from fire truck purchase ~ \$47,000.
- Changes to **Transportation Impact budget:**
 - Add \$70,000 in professional & technical for environmental study for 500 West. This is a carryover from the FY2018 budget.
- Changes to **RAP Tax budget:**
 - Add \$2,500 into budget for the ISLAND splash pad grand opening on June 22, 2019.

Mr. Bovero reviewed Mr. Marshall's staff memo.

Mayor Gailey opened the public hearing at 6:24 p.m. There were no persons appearing to be heard and the public hearing was closed.

Councilmember Bolduc thanked City staff for their efforts to manage their budget in a conservative manner, which has resulted in budget savings across all funds. Councilmember Bingham echoed Councilmember Bolduc's comments.

COUNCILMEMBER BINGHAM MOVED TO ADOPT RESOLUTION R19-22 ADJUSTING THE SYRACUSE CITY BUDGET FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2019. COUNCILMEMBER BOLDUC SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED IN FAVOR.

10. Public Hearing – Proposed Resolution R19-23 updating and amending the Syracuse City Consolidated Fee Schedule by making adjustments throughout.

A staff memo from the Administrative Services Director explained provided a list of proposed changes to the consolidated fee schedule:

- Amendment to culinary impact fees – see schedule effective September 9, 2019.
- Changes to Secondary Connection Fee – install of secondary meters and radios on new development.
- Utility Rate Changes:
 - Garbage: Increase of \$2.00 per can

- Culinary Water: Increase of \$1.05 for water rate increases from Weber Basin Water and hiring a new maintenance worker.
- Secondary Water: Increase of \$0.95 for water rate increases from irrigation companies and hiring a new maintenance worker.
- Park Maint. Fee: Increase \$0.50 for park maintenance. This includes \$0.15 for trees & landscaping, \$0.15 for electrical, utilities, and supplies for splash pad and linear park, and \$0.20 for equipment replacement (i.e. lawnmowers, etc.).

Mr. Bovero reviewed Mr. Marshall's staff memo.

Mayor Gailey opened the public hearing at 6:27 p.m. There were no persons appearing to be heard and the public hearing was closed.

Councilmember Maughan stated it is important to note that several fees charged by the City are 'pass-through' fees charged by other taxing entities; this includes the garbage increase and the culinary water increase being contemplated tonight. However, he feels the Council should have had a more in-depth discussion regarding fees that generate revenues to cover the costs associated with hiring new employees. Two additional Public Works employment positions will be funded by the revenues generated by the culinary water and secondary water increases, but there is a need for additional parks maintenance employees that is not considered in this fee proposal. He stated the Council should have had a deeper discussion regarding the prioritization of increasing staffing levels in different Departments across the City.

Councilmember Bingham stated the Council has discussed this issue at length over the course of several meetings and the Council has considered several alternatives to fee increases to address the need for increased revenues in the City. She stated that she feels that these increases provide the City with the ability to remain solvent and the increases are directly related to growth of the City.

Councilmember Savage reiterated the lion share of the increase is due to pass-through fees; he agreed that increasing staff levels is required in order to respond to growth in the City. He feels that the current fee increase proposal is appropriate, but he would not be willing to consider greater increases at this time.

Councilmember Peterson responded to Councilmember Maughan and indicated he did feel the Council's most recent discussion of this proposal was sufficient and he feels comfortable with the proposal; the City's fees are still low when compared to the same fees charged in other cities.

Councilmember Bolduc addressed staffing levels in the City's Public Works Department; when compared to staffing levels in other cities, Syracuse City has six fewer employees. She feels the addition of two new positions will help to protect the current staff from work exhaustion. She feels the proposal is appropriate.

Councilmember Maughan stated he does not disagree that it is appropriate to fund employee positions with certain fee revenues; he also does not disagree that the additional employees are needed in the Public Works Department to respond to growth and workload. He stated he is simply disappointed that the Council did not have a separate discussion about all employment positions that could be funded using fee revenues. He stated these types of discussions have taken place in past budget preparation cycles when other Departments are requesting new employees. He stated that he feels the Council did not pay sufficient attention to staffing requests across the City.

COUNCILMEMBER BOLDUC MOVED TO ADOPT RESOLUTION R19-23 UPDATING AND AMENDING THE SYRACUSE CITY CONSOLIDATED FEE SCHEDULE BY MAKING ADJUSTMENTS THROUGHOUT. COUNCILMEMBER BINGHAM SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED IN FAVOR, WITH THE EXCEPTION OF COUNCILMEMBER MAUGHAN, WHO VOTED IN OPPOSITION.

11. Proposed Resolution R19-24 adopting updates to the Fiscal Year 2019-2020 wage scale.

A staff memo from the Administrative Services Director explained all recommended changes to the wage scale are highlighted in red on the wage scale document. City staff performed a salary benchmark review for the Administrative and Public Works departments in conjunction with scheduled quadrennial reviews. The proposed changes to each of the Administrative and Public Works positions are highlighted in red. The Information Technologies (IT) Technician position has also been changed from part-time to full-time based upon prior discussion and needed services throughout the City. The Fire

Marshal position and museum curator position were new positions created for the FY2020 budget. These positions were discussed and approved by the City Council. The Development Services Manager position has been eliminated upon department restructuring and hiring another Building Permit Technician. The part-time maintenance worker positions were eliminated since we have not filled this position in over eight years. We don't anticipate needing the part time positions. No other changes have been proposed with this wage scale update.

Mr. Bovero reviewed Mr. Marshall's memo and noted that the Deputy Fire Chief and Fire Marshall position will both be exempt employment positions; their rate of pay will be changed from hourly to salary. Additionally, the Senior Fire Fighter position will be renamed Fire Fighter Three and there will be no change in the wage. Finally, the Fire Fighter Three position is absent from the part-time employees section of the scale and it will be added back in; the wage will be the same as full-time, but employees hired under that classification will be part-time.

Councilmember Peterson noted the wage scale was reviewed during the May 28 work session meeting and an error was noted in that part-time Administrative Professionals were paid more than full-time Administrative Professionals; he asked if that has been corrected, to which Mr. Bovero answered yes.

Councilmember Bolduc asked how Administration developed the recommended wage scale for the Museum Curator position. She also asked if the City will be seeking a Curator with previous experience and noted that the \$14.00 per hour starting wage seems high for a part-time untrained employee. Mr. Bovero stated City staff followed the benchmarking process it has used for all employment positions in the City; however, there were only three other cities with that position. The recommended wage is lower than the average of those three. He then noted that City Administration and the Museum Board does want to pursue hiring an individual who is trained in the duties the position will perform and direct the volunteers working at the Museum. He concluded the amount budgeted will dictate the hourly wage and the number of hours the employee can work.

Councilmember Maughan stated he is concerned that a vote to adopt the wage scale is the same as approving wage increases for any employee who may be outside their new wage scale; this has not been a topic of discussion among the Council. Mr. Bovero noted a vote to approve the wage scale is not the same as a vote to approve wage increases; the wage scale is a control City Council has over City Administration to limit the creation of new employment positions or the arbitrary determination of a wage for any employee. He noted that all wage increases are factored into the proposed FY 2020 budget and market increases are only being applied to positions that were part of the quadrennial review performed by the Council over the past year.

Councilmember Bingham inquired as to which Department the Museum Curator position will be assigned and who will be responsible for selecting the individual to fill that position. Mr. Bovero stated the position will be assigned to the Parks and Recreation Department; ultimately, the City Manager is responsible for hiring and firing employees, but Parks and Recreation Director Robinson will oversee the hiring process for that position.

COUNCILMEMBER PETERSON MOVED TO ADOPT RESOLUTION R19-24 ADOPTING UPDATES TO THE FISCAL YEAR 2019-2020 WAGE SCALE. COUNCILMEMBER BINGHAM SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED IN FAVOR.

12. Public Hearing – Proposed Resolution R19-25 adopting the Certified Tax Rate provided by Davis County and adopting the Fiscal Year 2019-2020 budget.

A staff memo from the Administrative Services Director explained The Certified tax rate recommended by Davis County decreased from 0.001512 in 2018 to 0.001412 for 2019. This is due to real property values increase approximately 12.88% over the prior year. If the Council accepts the certified tax rate as part of the adoption of the proposed budget, no further action is needed. As required by Utah Code Annotated 10-6-113, the Governing Body shall establish the time and place of a public hearing to consider its adoption and shall order that notice of the public hearing be published at least seven days prior to the public hearing. This requirement has been met since the City Council adopted the tentative budget on May 14 and set a public hearing for June 11, 2019 to consider adoption of the final budget. As required by Utah Code Annotated 10-6-118, "before the last June 22 of each fiscal period, or, in the case of a property tax increase under Sections 59-2-919 through 59-2-923, before August 17 of the year for which a property tax increase is proposed, the governing body shall by resolution or

ordinance adopt a budget for the ensuing fiscal period for each fund for which a budget is required under this chapter. A copy of the final budget for each fund shall be certified by the budget officer and filed with the state auditor within 30 days after adoption.”

The memo then indicated there have been a couple of changes proposed to the tentative budget since the Council’s last discussion on May 14. These include:

- Removing the Park Maintenance Facility and putting money into the capital projects fund as savings to complete this project in the future.
- Changing the amount allocated for the construction of the culinary water tank to \$6,000,000.
- Moved the museum curator position from RAP tax into the Parks and Recreation Department budget.
- Removed the project to install a water fountain at the skate park.
- Several changes to salary and benefits based upon turnover and benefit elections by employees.
- Removed the Bluff Ridge pavilion project and increased the funding for the Founder’s Park parking lot repair.
- Other small miscellaneous changes.

Mr. Bovero reviewed Mr. Marshall’s staff memo.

Mayor Gailey opened the public hearing at 6:45 p.m. There were no persons appearing to be heard and the public hearing was closed.

Councilmember Savage stated that many residents do not understand the manner in which property tax rates are calculated and the fact that the rate is automatically adjusted each year to account for inflated property values. He noted that he would like the Council to discuss the option of maintaining the current tax rate, rather than accepting the rate recommended by Davis County, in order to capture increased tax revenue associated with inflation. The Council engaged in a philosophical discussion regarding the concept of maintaining the tax rate; Councilmember Peterson indicated he is willing to consider the action as it seems logical to maintain the same rate from year to year, but is currently of the mind that the recommended rate can be accepted given that Administration has presented a balanced budget. Councilmember Bolduc stated she would want to have a specific discussion about how the increased tax revenues would be used; she does not want to simply maintain the rate because the Council has the authority to do so. Councilmember Maughan stated that if the Council could rely upon the same rate year after year, it would be possible to develop funding projections for several years into the future and this information could be used to inform long range plans for the City. Unfortunately, the State Legislature has prohibited a simple action of holding the rate year after year and, instead, has dictated that an action to maintain the current tax rate must be advertised as a proposed tax increase. He stated he feels State Legislature needs to address this flaw because maintaining the rate is reasonable and appropriate. He then noted that he has performed some calculations to determine the amount of money the City would receive over the next 10 years if the certified rate from last fiscal year were maintained; he has calculated that this action would generate enough additional revenue to increase the annual debt service payment for that bond and reduce the City’s obligation by one year. This would also free up money that could be dedicated to other needs in the City. This led to continued philosophical discussion and debate regarding the concept of maintaining the tax rate; Councilmember Bingham indicated she is willing to consider the idea of maintaining the tax rate and she noted she has heard from several residents who have indicated they would be more comfortable with a slight increase to their property taxes rather than a monthly fee increase to fund City needs. Councilmember Maughan stated he has heard the same and given potential changes the State Legislature may be considering regarding truth in taxation laws and the potential to increase the tax burden for certain service entities, it is appropriate for the Council to analyze the model for providing services to citizens in the least impactful way. Councilmember Bolduc stated she has spoken with local State Representatives who have indicated the Legislature is no longer considering legislation that would allow the State to tax service entities.

Mayor Gailey then sought advice from staff relative to the action the Council would need to take tonight if they were to decide to consider maintaining the tax rate. City Attorney Roberts noted that the appropriate action would be for the Council to adopt the tentative budget as an operating budget and declare the intent to proceed through the truth in taxation process defined in State Law. This would provide for the Council to advertise the intent to consider maintaining the tax rate and hold a public hearing on August 13, 2019 before adopting a final budget.

Councilmember Savage then stated that he would like the Council to consider an adjustment to the wage scales for elected positions in the City. This idea was prompted by the lack of a large number of individuals who were willing to run for election for the three City Council positions open this year. He has talked to some residents in the City who are politically active, but who were not willing to run for office and he has been given some indication that one issue that keeps them from running for office is that Syracuse Councilmembers are the lowest paid in Davis County and possibly larger portions of the State. During the recession, the Council voted to reduce their pay and it has not been increased since then. He has asked Mr. Marshall to perform a benchmark of the City Council position and the results of that comparison have been presented to the Council; he asked that the Council consider amending the FY 2020 budget to increase the pay for Councilmembers and the Mayor or commit to evaluating the issue at a future date for a budget amendment. Mayor Gailey stated this issue could be considered prior to the adoption of the final budget if the Council chooses to delay that action until August 13 in order to consider maintaining the tax rate. The Council discussed this process and options for evaluating the budget further over the course of the next several weeks; Mr. Bovero noted that if an operating budget is adopted tonight, it will be employed by Administration on July 1, but the Council can continue to discuss budgetary issues and make adjustments prior to final action on August 13.

COUNCILMEMBER SAVAGE MOVED TO ADOPT RESOLUTION R19-25 ADOPTING THE TENTATIVE BUDGET AS AN OPERATING BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2019-2020 AND CONTINUING THE PUBLIC HEARING UNTIL AUGUST TO CONSIDER INCREASING THE 2018 TAX RATE TO .001512 AND ADOPTING THE FINAL BUDGET. COUNCILMEMBER PETERSON SECONDED THE MOTION.

Councilmember Maughan stated he wants assurance that the Council will be given the opportunity to review the budget in depth during the June 25 and July 23 work session meetings. He stated he feels that not all five voices on the Council were heard throughout the budget preparation process. Mayor Gailey disagreed and stated he believes all five voices were heard.

Mr. Bovero then reiterated that the operating budget will be in effect July 1 and Administration will proceed with operations in the City under that budget; if there are items that the Council is not comfortable with at this time, they should direct staff to delay those matters until after adoption of the final budget. Councilmember Maughan stated he is concerned about the funding to be allocated to public surveys; he is opposed to survey research and the fact that surveys restrict the voice of the Council. He is very concerned about the systematic quieting of the voice of the Council in this City; the Council is part of the democratic process, yet time limits and scheduling issues have been used to limit the input of the Council. There is a reason that five members are elected, and the business of this body should be done in public. Mayor Gailey polled the Council on the matter of funding public surveys. Councilmember Savage stated he agrees with Councilmember Maughan and does not want to allocate funding to public surveys. Councilmembers Peterson, Bolduc, and Bingham stated they are supportive of conducting public surveys. Councilmember Bolduc stated that she is supportive of public surveys; government is a republic that is representative of the people and the voices of elected leaders should reflect that. It is not possible for Councilmembers to hear all the opinions that are voiced in the City, but a survey gives each person an opportunity to provide their input. Councilmember Savage argued that the public surveys will not take into account the voices of all people as the survey relies upon the responses of a sample group. Councilmember Maughan agreed. Councilmember Bingham stated she does not believe surveys are appropriate for all issues in the City, but a survey can be helpful for future planning efforts of the City; a survey will be informative but will not be relied upon solely for decision making. Mr. Bovero stated that the consultant would define a sample set for a survey, but each survey would be open to any resident to respond. Mayor Gailey stated it appears to him there is a majority of the Council that is supportive of leaving the funding for public surveys in the budget. He then asked Councilmember Maughan if he had additional budget concerns to raise in advance of the July 1, 2019 date upon which the operational budget will be in effect. Councilmember Maughan indicated he had nothing else to raise.

Mayor Gailey stated there has been a motion and second to adopt the tentative budget as an operating budget and to set a public hearing for August 13, 2019 to consider maintaining the current tax rate; he called for a vote. ALL VOTED IN FAVOR, WITH THE EXCEPTION OF COUNCILMEMBER MAUGHAN WHO VOTED IN OPPOSITION.

13. Resolution R19-26 adopting the Syracuse City Surplus Property Policy.

A staff memo from the City Manager explained from time to time, the City must dispose of property. However, due to the nature of City property being public, and often times tax-funded, a policy is proposed to achieve the following:

- Conduct the disposal of surplus property in a manner that is efficient, but also transparent and in the best interest of the City.
- Execute the disposal of property in a prioritized manner in order to achieve consistency across departments in the disposal of property.

The proposed policy has been deliberated amongst the management team of the City with the participation of Mayor Gailey, and again with the Council at the May work session. The proposed policy covers the following issues:

- General policy governing surplus property:
 - Items with an original purchase price of \$100 or less may be disposed of without formal action once the item is at the end of useful life.
 - Property with an original purchase price over \$100 with current value of \$0 to \$1,000 may be disposed of by Department Head using the prioritized method outlined in the policy and includes documentation of the disposal.
 - Any item with an estimated value between \$1,000 and \$5,000 may be disposed of with City Manager authorization, and using the prioritized methods outlined in the policy, and includes documentation of the disposal.
 - Any item with an estimated value over \$5,000 must have City Council approval prior to disposal.
 - Subsequent to the May Council work session, an amendment was added that requires a report of surplus property to the Council at least twice per year.
- Exceptions to the general policy.
- Methods of disposal of property, along with terms and conditions of sale of property.
- Acquisition of surplus property by employees of the City.
- Disciplinary action related to non-compliance with the policy.
- Ability to audit.

Mr. Bovero reviewed his staff memo.

Councilmember Bingham stated she is comfortable proceeding with adoption of the policy with the understanding that the Council will periodically be informed of the disposal of surplus City property that falls under the policy parameters.

Councilmember Bolduc referenced the work session discussion about this issue in regard to purchasing authority thresholds; she had asked that the Council be informed of the disposal of items that are valued at dollar amounts defined in the City's purchasing policy. She noted, however, that she could not find the City's purchasing policy to determine what those amounts were. Mr. Bovero stated that the purchasing policy indicates that for purchase of an item that is estimated to be less than \$5,000, the City does not need to obtain competitive bids for that purchase. For dollar amounts between \$5,000 and \$10,000, telephone bids are required. Councilmember Bolduc asked Mr. Bovero to send her the purchasing policy document. Mr. Bovero stated he will do that following tonight's meeting.

COUNCILMEMBER BINGHAM MOVED TO ADOPT RESOLUTION R19-26 ADOPTING THE SYRACUSE CITY SURPLUS PROPERTY POLICY. COUNCILMEMBER PETERSON SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED IN FAVOR.

14. Proposed Resolution R19-27 adopting the Syracuse Museum Five-Year Strategic Plan.

A staff memo from the City Manager explained The Museum Board is a public body of the City that is appointed by the Mayor with the advice and consent of the City Council. The Museum Foundation is a private non-profit that was set up to care for the displays and fund the museum programs. Currently, the Museum Foundation Board members act as the City Museum Board members. By City ordinance, the Museum Board is responsible for the day-to-day management and operations for the Museum. The City owns and maintains the buildings and grounds for the use and operation of the museum. The

ordinance also states that one of the duties of the Museum Board is to “Prepare and recommend for approval by the City Council a long-range plan, including goals, for the Museum and Cultural Center, review any adopted long-range plan on an annual basis, and recommend any updates or changes to the City Council.”

City Manager Bovero reviewed the staff memo and referenced the Council direction given during the May 28 work session that this policy should be considered to be a baseline for a strategic plan for the Museum, but that it will be improved upon with greater involvement from the City.

Councilmember Bolduc stated it is clear that this Plan should be a starting point and that improvements are needed.

Councilmember Maughan agreed the Plan is a good starting point, but it was his understanding that the Council would discuss it further in work session meetings rather than adopting it in its current form. He noted that he feels the Plan should include a set of defined goals that both the City and the Museum Board can be working toward. This led to high level philosophical discussion among the Council regarding the intent of adopting the Plan via the proposed resolution this evening. Councilmember Savage stated he feels the Plan is sufficient for a starting point and he is comfortable adopting it with the understanding that the Council’s liaison to the Museum Board can be more active and the Plan will also be a good guide for the City’s new Museum Curator to follow. Councilmember Peterson agreed and feels it is appropriate to adopt the Plan tonight; he feels the adoption will send a vote of support to the Board. Councilmember Maughan stated he is not trying to burden the Board by asking that the Plan it be improved upon; he simply feels that the Plan will create pitfalls and problems for the Board in the future. Mr. Bovero stated one option is for the Council to adopt the Plan tonight and asked that City staff, the Museum Curator, and the Council’s liaison to the Board work to improve the Plan so that it can be presented to the Council again in the near future for needed adjustments.

COUNCILMEMBER SAVAGE MOVED TO ADOPT RESOLUTION R19-27 ADOPTING THE SYRACUSE MUSEUM FIVE-YEAR STRATEGIC PLAN AND DIRECT THE COUNCIL LIAISON TO THE MUSEUM BOARD AND THE MUSEUM CURATOR TO EVALUATE THE PLAN TO DETERMINE IF ADJUSTMENTS ARE NEEDED. COUNCILMEMBER PETERSON SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED IN FAVOR.

15. Proposed Resolution R19-28 directing the Syracuse City Planning Commission to take final action on the adoption of the General Plan during its next meeting.

A staff memo from the City Attorney explained the Planning Commission was given a deadline to complete its work on the General Plan by the Mayor, after presenting the same timeline to the Council and hearing no objection, during its last meeting in March. They were instructed that they could hold additional, special meetings, if necessary, in order to get the General Plan completed. The deadline provided was June 4, 2019. The Commission has spent many hours discussing general concepts of the Plan and draft language prepared by staff. They have held meetings on six occasions to discuss the matter. During its meeting on June 4, 2019, the six commissioners present could not pass a single motion related to the General Plan. Motions to approve, disapprove, approve with modifications, table, and to move forward without recommendation were all tendered, but were not supported by a majority of the Commission. The enactment of the General Plan has been emphasized by the Council as a necessary prerequisite to many issues, from economic development, land use issues, and long-range planning. This resolution would direct the Planning Commission to render a recommendation during its June 18, 2019 meeting. Failure to do so would result in a deemed approval of the proposed General Plan in their packet.

Mayor Gailey reviewed Mr. Roberts’ staff memo.

Councilmember Maughan stated he is not comfortable adopting the proposed Resolution at this time; he feels doing so would dampen the spirits of the Planning Commission. If the action carries out several months, the resolution would be appropriate, but given that the body has exceeded its deadline by just two weeks, this action is unnecessary. He added that there have been times in the past when a recommendation from the Planning Commission was sought and the Council was given the option of adopting this type of resolution to impose a deadline, but that never occurred because the Council did not feel it was appropriate.

Councilmember Bingham asked Councilmember Maughan to expound on his reference to two weeks being the length of time by which the Commission has exceeded their deadline; she noted she was of the belief that the Commission has had this issue before them for several months. Councilmember Maughan stated that the last meeting was the first opportunity the

Commission has had to vote on the issue. Mayor Gailey asked Mr. Steele to provide an overview of the number of meetings during which the Commission has discussed this item. Mr. Steele indicated that the Commission took their first vote on the matter at their most recent business meeting, but it has been a topic of discussion dating back to March.

Discussion then centered on the components of the General Plan that the Commission is considering to determine whether the matter is too technical to expect the Commission to take an action after just one voting meeting. Commissioners Maughan and Savage stated they would like to give the Commission at least one more meeting to take action; Councilmember Maughan pointed out that the Commission will have two new members at the first of July and that will change the split vote on the issue. Councilmember Peterson stated he wants to be supportive of the Mayor and his timeframe that he laid out for the Planning Commission; he is also concerned that the two new members of the Commission will not be familiar enough with the matter in order to vote in their first meeting. Councilmember Bolduc stated she feels a due date is appropriate; she also attended the meeting during which the split vote occurred, and she did not feel that members on either side of that vote were willing to compromise and an action directing final action is appropriate. She agreed that it will be problematic to allow the issue to continue into July because the two new Commissioners will need to be 'brought up to speed'.

Mayor Gailey provided his reasoning for requesting the Council consider this resolution; he has provided the Commission with leeway and tools needed in order to complete their work on the General Plan with a June 4 deadline. He stated that the Commission did not take advantage of those resources but considering the difference of opinion among the Council regarding this issue, he would withdraw his request and give the Commission an additional meeting to finalize their recommendation.

16. Public comments.

There were no public comments.

17. Mayor/Council announcements and discussion of future agenda items.

The Council and Mayor provided announcements about upcoming community events and other opportunities for public involvement.

Mayor Gailey also invited City Manager Bovero to make any necessary announcements.

At 8:02 p.m. COUNCILMEMBER SAVAGE MADE A MOTION TO ADJOURN. COUNCILMEMBER PETERSON SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED IN FAVOR.

Mike Gailey
Mayor

Cassie Z. Brown, MMC
City Recorder

Date approved: July 9, 2019

Minutes of the Special Meeting of the Syracuse City Redevelopment Agency held on June 11, 2019, at 8:02 p.m., in the Council Chambers, 1979 West 1900 South, Syracuse City, Davis County, Utah.

Present: Members: Lisa W. Bingham
 Corinne N. Bolduc
 Dave Maughan
 Doug Peterson
 Jordan Savage

Mayor Mike Gailey
City Manager Brody Bovero
City Recorder Cassie Z. Brown

City Employees Present:
City Attorney Paul Roberts
Public Works Director Robert Whiteley
Fire Chief Aaron Byington
Police Chief Garret Atkin
Parks and Recreation Director Kresta Robinson
Community and Economic Development Director Noah Steele

1. Meeting Called to Order/Adopt Agenda

Mayor Gailey called the meeting to order at 8:02 p.m. as a special meeting, with notice of time, place, and agenda provided 24 hours in advance to the newspaper and each Boardmember.

BOARDMEMBER MAUGHAN MOVED TO ADOPT THE AGENDA. BOARDMEMBER BINGHAM SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED IN FAVOR.

2. Public Hearing- Proposed Resolution RDA19-02 adopting the annual budget for the Fiscal Year 2019-2020 as required by section 17C-1-601, Utah Code Annotated, 1953.

A staff memo from the Administrative Services Director explained the City Council and Mayor are the acting board members for both the RDA and the MBA. Each is a separate legal entity, and each has a separate budget proposal to go along with the proposed resolutions. The RDA board oversees two RDA areas (town center and 750 West), the SR-193 EDA area, and the Antelope Drive CDA (no budget for FY2019). There have not been any changes to these budgets since the tentative budget was approved on May 14, 2019. **This is the last council meeting we have to adopt a final budget before the June 22 deadline provided by State Law.**

City Manager Bovero reviewed the staff memo.

Mayor Gailey opened the public hearing at 8:03 p.m. There were no persons appearing to be heard and the public hearing was closed.

BOARDMEMBER MAUGHAN MADE A MOTION TO ADOPT RESOLUTION RDA19-02 TO ADOPT THE ANNUAL BUDGET FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 2019-2020 AS REQUIRED BY SECTION 17C-1-601, UTAH CODE ANNOTATED, 1953. BOARDMEMBER BOLDUC SECONDED THE MOTION. ALL VOTED IN FAVOR.

At 8:04 p.m. BOARDMEMBER PETERSON MADE A MOTION TO ADJOURN. BOARDMEMBER MAUGHAN SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED IN FAVOR.

Mike Gailey
Mayor

Cassie Z. Brown, MMC
City Recorder

Date approved: July 9, 2019

Minutes of the Special Meeting of the Syracuse City Municipal Building Authority held on June 11, 2019 at 8:04 p.m., in the Council Chambers, 1979 West 1900 South, Syracuse City, Davis County, Utah.

Present: Trustees: Lisa W. Bingham
Corinne N. Bolduc
Dave Maughan
Doug Peterson
Jordan Savage

Mayor Mike Gailey
City Manager Brody Bovero
City Recorder Cassie Z. Brown

City Employees Present:

Public Works Director Robert Whiteley
City Attorney Paul Roberts
Fire Chief Aaron Byington
Police Chief Garret Atkin
Parks and Recreation Director Kresta Robinson
Community and Economic Development Director Noah Steele

1. Meeting Called to Order/Adopt Agenda.

President Gailey called the meeting to order at 8:04 p.m. as a special meeting, with notice of time, place, and agenda provided 24 hours in advance to the newspaper and each Trustee.

TRUSTEE MAUGHAN MOVED TO ADOPT THE AGENDA. TRUSTEE PETERSON SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED IN FAVOR.

2. Public Hearing- Proposed Resolution MBA18-01 to adopt the annual budget for the Fiscal Year 2018-2019 as required by section 17D-3-107, Utah Code Annotated, 1953.

A staff memo from the Administrative Services Director explained the City Council and Mayor are the acting board members for both the RDA and the MBA. Each is a separate legal entity, and each has a separate budget proposal to go along with the proposed resolutions. The RDA board oversees two RDA areas (town center and 750 West), the SR-193 EDA area, and the Antelope Drive CDA (no budget for FY2019). There have not been any changes to these budgets since the tentative budget was approved on May 14, 2019. **This is the last council meeting we have to adopt a final budget before the June 22 deadline provided by State Law.**

City Manager Bovero reviewed the staff memo.

President Gailey opened the public hearing at 8:05 p.m.; there were no persons appearing to be heard and the public hearing was closed.

TRUSTEE MAUGHAN MADE A MOTION TO ADOPT RESOLUTION MBA19-01 TO ADOPT THE ANNUAL BUDGET FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 2019-2020 AS REQUIRED BY SECTION 17D-3-107, UTAH CODE ANNOTATED, 1953. TRUSTEE BINGHAM SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED IN FAVOR.

At 8:06 TRUSTEE MAUGHAN MADE A MOTION TO ADJOURN. TRUSTEE SAVAGE SECONDED THE MOTION; ALL VOTED IN FAVOR.

Mike Gailey
President

Cassie Z. Brown, MMC
City Recorder

Date approved: July 9, 2019